

EXHIBIT F

From: Ryan.Banks@lw.com <Ryan.Banks@lw.com>

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 7:38 PM

To: Kazim Naqvi <KNaqvi@sheppardmullin.com>; Doug.Lumish@lw.com; Gabe.Gross@lw.com

Cc: SKYRYSEMOOG.LWTEAM@lw.com; Rena Andoh <RAndoh@sheppardmullin.com>; Travis Anderson <TAnderson@sheppardmullin.com>; Lai Yip <LYip@sheppardmullin.com>; RFluskey@hodgsonruss.com; green.a@wssllp.com; truitt.a@wssllp.com

Subject: RE: Moog/Skyryse - Outstanding Items

Kazim,

I write to respond to your email below. As you know, we have already responded elsewhere to the majority of the issues raised in your email. Nonetheless, for completeness, we will reiterate those answers here.

2. As we have explained several times, we have supplemented the interrogatories for which supplemental information exists. Should new information come to light, we will of course continue to supplement as necessary. With respect to your allegation that Skyryse's response to Moog's Interrogatory No. 9 was not substantively supplemented, we find your allegation puzzling as our response identified additional devices directly in response to your interrogatory.
3. We substantively responded to the issues regarding Skyryse employees' personal devices in our letter on June 24.
4. We are continuing to investigate what relevant information Skyryse is able to collect and produce on behalf of individual employees from their personal devices. With respect to Mr. Lee, Skyryse has obtained copies of his Moog-related photos and videos. We currently estimate that we will be able to produce these documents this week. With respect to Mr. Chung and Mr. Dao, we are again continuing our investigation and will update when we have more information to share.
5. Skyryse supplemented its Response to Moog's Interrogatory No. 3 on Friday, June 24 and therefore by your own suggestion, no extension is necessary.

Best,

Ryan

From: Kazim Naqvi <KNaqvi@sheppardmullin.com>

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2022 12:55 PM

To: Lumish, Douglas (Bay Area) <Doug.Lumish@lw.com>; Gross, Gabriel (Bay Area) <Gabe.Gross@lw.com>

Cc: #C-M SKYRYSE - MOOG - LW TEAM <SKYRYSEMOOG.LWTEAM@lw.com>; Rena Andoh <RAndoh@sheppardmullin.com>; Travis Anderson <TAnderson@sheppardmullin.com>; Lai Yip <LYip@sheppardmullin.com>; Fluskey Jr., Robert J. <RFluskey@hodgsonruss.com>; green.a@wssllp.com; truitt.a@wssllp.com

Subject: Moog/Skyryse - Outstanding Items

Counsel:

I am writing to follow up on a number of outstanding items that Skyrise has either not responded to and/or has not substantively gotten back to us on.

I will first note that we have made considerable efforts to respond timely (generally within 24 hours) to each and every one of Skyrise's e-mails, requests to meet and confer, etc. We have gotten on the phone with you to meet and confer on short notice. To our knowledge, there is no e-mail from Skyrise that has gone unanswered or remains outstanding. On the other hand, there are e-mails and issues raised to Skyrise that go weeks without a response. We do not suggest that every e-mail requires an immediate response and we are mindful of everyone's schedules, but Skyrise must be circumspect about Moog diligently meeting and conferring about discovery issues in good faith when Skyrise is not reciprocating. We request that going forward, given the large team it has in place, Skyrise be more diligent about communicating on discovery issues.

1. We only just received today, 17 days later, a response to my June 7 e-mail regarding Skyrise's positions on certain of Moog's RFPs (attached). We disagree with Kelley's e-mail from today and will respond under separate cover.
2. We have not received any response to my June 16 e-mail regarding Skyrise's responses to Moog's Interrogatories Nos. 6-8 and 9 (attached). We advised that we intended to move to compel on these responses and asked Skyrise to confirm whether or not it would change its positions. We have heard nothing, so we will move to compel next week.
3. On June 17, Mr. Gross advised he would get back to us on the issues presented in my June 16 e-mail regarding preservation and searching of Skyrise employees' personal devices (attached). We have heard nothing since then.
4. We have received no response to my June 22 e-mail (attached) regarding the production of Moog data that was disclosed in Skyrise's recently-filed opposition brief.
5. While Kelley's e-mail just now responded to certain portions of my June 22 e-mail (attached), it did not address Skyrise's timing of supplementing its response to Interrogatory No. 3. We have proposed a number of times that both sides receive 7 days from the production of any discovery responses or documents after Friday to move to compel. We have heard nothing from you.

Please advise on each of the foregoing as soon as possible.

Thank you,
Kazim

Kazim A. Naqvi
+1 424-288-5336 | direct
KNaqvi@sheppardmullin.com | [Bio](#)

SheppardMullin
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600
Los Angeles, CA 90067-6017
+1 310-228-3700 | main

www.sheppardmullin.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)